arXiv:1703.00606v1 [math.CO] 2 Mar 2017

Coloring (P_6, diamond, K_4)-free graphs

T. Karthick* Suchismita Mishra†

March 3, 2017

Abstract

We show that every (P_6, diamond, K_4)-free graph is 6-colorable. Moreover, we give an example of a (P_6, diamond, K_4)-free graph G with $\chi(G) = 6$. This generalizes some known results in the literature.

1 Introduction

We consider simple, finite, and undirected graphs. For notation and terminology not defined here we refer to [22]. Let P_n, C_n, K_n denote the induced path, induced cycle and complete graph on n vertices respectively. If G_1 and G_2 are two vertex disjoint graphs, then their *union* $G_1 \cup G_2$ is the graph with $V(G_1 \cup G_2) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and $E(G_1 \cup G_2) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$. Similarly, their *join* $G_1 + G_2$ is the graph with $V(G_1 + G_2) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and $E(G_1 + G_2) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{(x, y) \mid x \in V(G_1),\ y \in V(G_2)\}$. For any positive integer k, kG denotes the union of k graphs each isomorphic to G. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a family of graphs, a graph G is said to be *$\mathcal{F}$-free* if it contains no induced subgraph isomorphic to any member of $\mathcal{F}$. A *clique* (independent set) in a graph G is a set of vertices that are pairwise adjacent (non-adjacent) in G. The *clique number* of G, denoted by $\omega(G)$, is the size of a maximum clique in G.

A *k-coloring* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a mapping $f : V \to \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ such that $f(u) \neq f(v)$ whenever $uv \in E$. We say that G is *k-colorable* if G admits a k-coloring. That is, a partition of the vertex set $V(G)$ into k independent sets. The *chromatic number* of G, denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the smallest positive integer k such that G is k-colorable. It is well known that a graph is 2-colorable if and only if it is bipartite. Given an integer k, the k-Coloring problem is that of testing whether a given graph is k-colorable. The k-Coloring problem is NP-complete for every fixed $k \geq 3$ [11, 14]. The problem of finding the maximum chromatic number of graphs without forbidden induced subgraphs from some finite/infinite set and with small clique number is well studied and still receives much attention. We refer to [19] for a survey and we give some of them that are not in [19]. We note that some of the cited results

*Corresponding author. Computer Science Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Chennai Centre, Chennai-600113, India. E-mail: karthick@isichennai.res.in

†Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai-600036, India.



Figure 1: Some special graphs.

are consequences of much stronger results available in the literature. Mycielski [16] showed that for any integer k, there exists a triangle-free graph with chromatic number k. Fan et al. [10] showed that every (fork, K_3)-free graph with odd-girth at least 7 is 3-colorable. Pyatkin [18] showed that every $(2P_3, K_3)$-free graph is 4-colorable. Esperet et al. [9] showed that every (P_5, K_4)-free graph is 5-colorable. It follows from a result of Gravier, Hoáng and Maffray [12] that every (P_6, K_3)-free graph is 4-colorable (see also [19]), and that every (P_6, K_4)-free graph is 16-colorable. Randerath et al. [20] showed that every $(P_6, K_{1,3}, W_5, DD, K_4)$-free graph is 3-colorable, where DD denotes the double-diamond graph, and W_5 is the 5-wheel. It follows from a result of [4] that every $(P_2 \cup P_3, C_4, K_4)$-free graph is 4-colorable, and from a result of [13] that every (P_5, diamond, K_4)-free graph is 4-colorable. Chudnovsky et al. [7] showed that every (odd hole, K_4)-free graph is 4-colorable. This implies that every (P_6, C_5, K_4)-free graph is 4-colorable. Addario-Berry et al. [1] showed that every (even hole, K_4)-free graph is 5-colorable, and Kloks, Müller and Vuskovic [15] showed that every (even-hole, diamond, K_4)-free is 4-colorable.

In this note, we first give an alternative and simple proof to the fact that every ($P_2 \cup P_3$, diamond, K_4)-free graph is 6-colorable given in [2]. Then we show that the conclusion holds even for a general class of graphs, namely (P_6, diamond, K_4)-free graphs. That is, we show that every (P_6, diamond, K_4)-free graph is 6-colorable. Moreover, we give an example of a (P_6, diamond, K_4)-free graph G with $\chi(G) = 6$. This generalizes the aforementioned results for (P_5, diamond, K_4)-free graphs, (P_6, K_3)-free graphs and ($P_2 \cup P_3$, diamond, K_4)-free graphs. The proof of our results depend on a sequence of partial results given below, and we give tight examples for each of them. See Figure 1.

- Let G be a ($P_2 \cup P_3$, diamond, K_4)-free graph that contains a non-dominating K_3. Then G is 4-colorable.
- Let G be a ($P_2 \cup P_3$, diamond, K_4)-free graph such that every triangle in G dominates G. Then G is 6-colorable.
- Let G be a (P_6, diamond, bull, K_4)-free graph. Then G is 4-colorable.
- Let G be a (P_6, diamond, K_4)-free graph that contains an induced bull. Then G is 6-colorable.

Note that the class of (H, diamond)-free graphs, for various H, is well studied in variety of contexts in the literature. Chudnovsky et al. [5] showed that there are exactly six 4-critical

(P_6, diamond)-free graphs. Tucker [21] gave an $O(kn^2)$ time algorithm for k-Coloring perfect diamond-free graphs. It is also known that k-Coloring is polynomial-time solvable for (even-hole, diamond)-free graphs [15] as well as for (hole, diamond)-free graphs [3]. Dabrowski et al. [8] showed that if H is a graph on at most five vertices, then k-Coloring is polynomial time solvable for (H, diamond)-free graphs, whenever H is a linear forest and NP-complete otherwise. However, the computational complexity of the k-Coloring problem for (P_6, diamond)-free graphs is open. It is also known that the Maximum Weight Independent Set problem is solvable in polynomial time for (P_6, diamond)-free graphs [17] as well as for (hole, diamond)-free graphs [3].

We devote the rest of this section to the notations and terminologies used in this paper. For any integer k, we simply write $[k]$ to denote the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Let G be a graph, with vertex-set $V(G)$ and edge-set $E(G)$. A *diamond* or a $K_4 - e$ is the graph with vertex set $\{a, b, c, d\}$ and edge set $\{ab, bc, cd, ad, bd\}$.

A graph G is called *perfect* if $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$, for every induced subgraph H of G. By the *Strong Perfect Graph Theorem* [6], A graph is perfect if and only if it contains no odd hole (chordless cycle) of length at least 5 and no odd antihole (complement graph of a hole) of length at least 5.

For $x \in V(G)$, $N(x)$ denotes the set of all neighbors of x in G. The neighborhood $N(X)$ of a subset $X \subseteq V(G)$ is the set $\{u \in V(G) \setminus X \mid u \text{ is adjacent to a vertex of } X\}$. For any two disjoint subsets $S,\ T \subseteq V(G)$, $[S, T]$ denotes the edge-set $\{uv \in E(G) \mid u \in S,\ v \in T\}$. The set $[S, T]$ is said to be *complete* if every vertex in S is adjacent to every vertex in T. Also, for $S \subseteq V(G)$, let $G[S]$ denotes the subgraph induced by S in G, and for convenience we simply write $[S]$ instead of $G[S]$. The length of a path is the number of edges in it. The length of a shortest path between two vertices x and y is denoted by $dist(x, y)$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $x \in V(G) \setminus S$, we define $\text{dist}(x, S) := \min\{\text{dist}(x, y) \mid y \in S\}$. We say that a subgraph H of G is *dominating* if every vertex in $V(G) \setminus V(H)$ has a neighbor in H; otherwise, it is a *non-dominating* subgraph. We say that a graph H is obtained from G by *duplication* if it can be obtained from G by substituting independent sets for some of the vertices in G.

2 Our Results

We use the following preliminary results often. Let G be a (diamond, K_4)-free graph. Then the following hold:

(R1) If T is a triangle in G, then any vertex $p \in V(G) \setminus V(T)$ has at most one neighbor in T.

(R2) For any $v \in V(G)$, $N(v)$ induces a P_3-free graph, and hence $[N(v)]$ is a union of K_2's and K_1's.

(R3) For any two non-adjacent vertices x and y in G, the set of common neighbors of x and y is an independent set.

(R4) For any two adjacent vertices x and y in G, the number of common neighbors of x and y is at most one.

(R5) Let G be a diamond-free graph with n vertices. Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$ $(1 \leq k \leq n)$ be vertices in G such that $N(v_i)$ is an independent set, for each $i \in \{1, 2 \ldots, k\}$. Then the duplicated graph H obtained from G by substituting an independent set for each v_i, $i \in \{1, 2 \ldots, k\}$ is also diamond-free.

Theorem 1 *Let G be a connected ($P_2 \cup P_3$, diamond, K_4)-free graph that contains a non-dominating K_3. Then G is 4-colorable.*

Proof of Theorem 1. Let T be a non-dominating triangle in G induced by the vertex-set $N_0 := \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. For $j \geq 1$, let N_j denote the set $\{y \in V(G) \setminus N_0 \mid d(y, N_0) = j\}$. Then by (R1), every vertex of N_1 has at most one neighbor in N_0; more precisely:

(1) If $x \in N_1$, then $[N(x) \cap N_0]$ is isomorphic to K_1.

For $i \in [3]$, let $A_i := \{x \in N_1 \mid N(x) \cap N_0 = \{v_i\}\}$. Then since G is K_4-free, by (R2), each $[A_i]$ is a union of K_2's and K_1's, and so $[A_i]$ is bipartite. Let (A_1', A_1'') be a bipartition of A_1 such that A_1' is a maximal independent set of A_1.

(2) $N_j = \emptyset$, for all $j \geq 3$.

Proof. Suppose not, and let $y \in N_3$. Then by the definition of N_3, there exist vertices $x_1 \in N_1$ and $x_2 \in N(x) \cap N_2$ such that $x_1 - x_2 - y$ is a P_3 in G. By (1), $x_1 \in A_i$, for some $i \in [3]$, say $i = 1$. But then $\{v_2, v_3, x_1, x_2, y\}$ induces a $P_2 \cup P_3$ in G, which is a contradiction. $\diamond$

Now, since T is non-dominating, $N_2 \neq \emptyset$. Also, since G is $(P_2 \cup P_3)$-free, $[N_2]$ is a P_3-free graph, and hence $[N_2]$ is a union of complete graphs. Moreover:

(3) If C is a component of $[N_2]$, then there exists $x \in N_1$ such that $[N(x) \cap N_2] \cong C$.

Proof. Otherwise, G induces a $P_2 \cup P_3$ or diamond, a contradiction. $\diamond$

Furthermore, since G is K_4-free, by (3), $[N_2]$ is a union of K_2's and K_1's. In particular, $[N_2]$ is bipartite. Let (N_2', N_2'') be a bipartition of N_2.

(4) Let $i, j \in [3]$. Let $x \in A_i$ be such that $N(x) \cap N_2 \neq \emptyset$. Then A_j $(j \neq i)$ is an independent set.

Proof. We may assume that $i = 1$. Let $z \in N(x) \cap N_2$. Suppose to the contrary that there are vertices $y_1, y_2 \in A_2$ such that $y_1 y_2 \in E$. Then since $\{y_1, y_2, v_2, x\}$ does not induce a diamond in G, we have either $xy_1 \notin E$ or $xy_2 \notin E$. Assume $xy_1 \notin E$. Now, since $\{x, z, y_1, v_2, v_3\}$ does not induce a $P_2 \cup P_3$ in G, $zy_1 \in E$. Then since $\{v_1, v_3, z, y_1, y_2\}$ does not induce a $P_2 \cup P_3$ in G, $zy_2 \in E$. But, then $\{v_2, y_1, y_2, z\}$ induces a diamond in G, a contradiction. So, A_2 is an independent set. Similarly, A_3 is also an independent set. $\diamond$



Figure 2: Some extremal graphs.

Now, we show that G is 4-colorable using the above properties. Suppose that $K_2 \sqsubseteq [N_2]$. Then by (3), there is a vertex $x \in A_i$ such that $[N(x) \cap N_2] \cong K_2$. We may assume that $i = 1$, and let $y_1, y_2 \in N(x) \cap N_2$ be such that $y_1y_2 \in E$. Then $A_2 = \emptyset = A_3$. Otherwise, if $w \in A_2 \cup A_3$, then since $\{w, v_2, v_3, y_1, y_2\}$ or $\{v_1, v_3, w, y_1, y_2\}$ or $\{v_1, v_2, w, y_1, y_2\}$ does not induce a $P_2 \cup P_3$ in G, we have $wy_1, wy_2 \in E$. But, then $\{x, w, y_1, y_2\}$ induces a diamond or a K_4 in G, a contradiction. Then we define the sets $S_1 := \{v_1\} \cup N_2', S_2 := \{v_2\} \cup A_1', S_3 := \{v_3\} \cup A_1''$, and $S_4 := N_2''$. Clearly, S_j is an independent set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Hence (S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4) is a 4-coloring of G.

So, assume that N_2 ($\neq \emptyset$) is an independent set. Then there exists $x \in A_i$ such that $N(x) \cap N_2 \neq \emptyset$. We may assume that $i = 1$, and let $z \in N(x) \cap N_2$. By (4), A_2 and A_3 are independent sets. Moreover, if $y \in A_1''$, then $N(y) \cap N_2 = \emptyset$. (Otherwise, since A_1' is maximal, there exist $y' \in A_1'$ and $z' \in N(y) \cap N_2$ such that $yy', yz' \in E$. Then since $\{v_2, v_3, y, y', z'\}$ does not induces a $P_2 \cup P_3$ in G, $y'z' \in E$. But, then $\{v_1, y, y', z'\}$ induces a diamond in G, a contradiction.) Now, we define $S_1 := \{v_1\} \cup A_2, S_2 := \{v_2\} \cup A_1', S_3 := \{v_3\} \cup A_1'' \cup N_2$, and $S_4 := A_3$. Then S_j is an independent set, for each $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Hence (S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4) is a 4-coloring of G.

Thus, Theorem 1 is proved. □

The bound given in Theorem 1 is tight. For example, consider the duplicated graph G obtained from G_1 (shown in Figure 2) by substituting each vertex indicated in circle by an independent set (of order ≥ 1). As the graph G is highly symmetric, using (R5), there are not too many cases to directly verify that G is ($P_2 \cup P_3$, diamond, K_4)-free. Also, it is easy to see that G contains a non-dominating triangle T with vertices $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, and that $\chi(G) = 4$.

Theorem 2 *Let G be a connected ($P_2 \cup P_3$, diamond, K_4)-free graph such that every triangle in G dominates G. Then G is 6-colorable.*

Proof of Theorem 2. Let K be a K_3 in G with vertices $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ that dominates G. Since G is (K_4, diamond)-free and K dominates G, every vertex in $V(G) \setminus V(K)$ has exactly one neighbor in K. For $i \in [4]$, let $A_i := \{x \in V(G) \setminus V(K) \mid N(x) \cap V(K) = \{x_i\}\}$. Then by (R2), each $[A_i]$ is a union of K_2's and K_1's. Now, it is easy to check that G is 6-colorable. □

The bound given in Theorem 2 is tight. For example, consider the graph G which is isomorphic to the complement of the 16-regular *Schläfli graph* on 27 vertices. It is verified that G is ($P_2 \cup P_3$, diamond)-free and it is well known that $\chi(G) = 6$ and $\omega(G) = 3$; see (https://hog.grinvin.org/ViewGraphInfo.action?id=19273).

Theorem 3 *Let G be a ($P_2 \cup P_3$, diamond, K_4)-free graph. Then G is 6-colorable.*

Proof. Follows by Theorems 1 and 2. □

Theorem 4 *Let G be a (P_6, diamond, bull, K_4)-free graph. Then G is 4-colorable.*

Proof. If G is perfect, then G is 3-colorable and the theorem holds. So we may assume that G is connected and G is not perfect. Since G is P_6-free, G contains no hole of length at least 7, and since G is diamond-free, G contain no anti-hole of length at least 7. Thus, it follows from the Strong Perfect Graph Theorem [6] that G contains a 5-hole (hole of length 5), say N_0 with vertex-set $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$, and edge-set $\{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_4v_5, v_5v_1\}$. Throughout this proof, we take all the subscripts of v_i to be modulo 5. For any integer $j \geq 1$, let N_j denote the set $\{x \in V(G) \mid d(x, N_0) = j\}$.

(1) If $x \in N_1$, then $[N(x) \cap N_0]$ is isomorphic to either K_1 or $2K_1$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then there exists an $i \in [5]$ such that $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \subseteq N(x)$. Then since $\{v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, x\}$ does not induce a bull in G, either $v_{i-1} \in N(x)$ or $v_{i+2} \in N(x)$. But then either $\{v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, x\}$ or $\{v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1}, x\}$ induces a diamond in G, a contradiction. So (1) holds. ⋄

By (1), we partition N_1 as follows: For any $i \in [5]$, i mod 5, let:

$$\begin{aligned} W_i &:= \{x \in N_1 \mid N(x) \cap N_0 = \{v_i\}\}, \\ Y_i &:= \{x \in N_1 \mid N(x) \cap N_0 = \{v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let $W := W_1 \cup \cdots \cup W_5$ and $Y := Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_5$.

(2) For $i \in [5]$, i mod 5, the following hold:

(i) $[W_i]$ is union of K_2's and K_1's.

(ii) $[W_i, W_{i+1}] = \emptyset$.

(iii) $[W_i, W_{i+2}]$ is complete. In particular, if $W_i \neq \emptyset$, then W_{i+2} and W_{i-2} are independent sets.

(iv) $Y_i \cup Y_{i+2}$ is an independent set.

(v) $[W_i, Y_{i+1}] = \emptyset$

Proof of (2). We prove for $i = 1$.

(i): Follows by the definition of W_1, and by (R2).

(ii): Suppose not. Then there exist vertices $x \in W_1$ and $y \in W_2$ such that $xy \in E$. But, then $\{x, y, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ induces a P_6 in G, which is a contradiction. So (ii) holds.

(iii): Let $x \in W_1$. We show that W_3 is an independent set. If not, then there exist adjacent vertices y and z in W_3. Since $\{x, v_1, v_5, v_4, v_3, y\}$ and $\{x, v_1, v_5, v_4, v_3, z\}$ do not induce a P_6 in G, we have $xy \in E$ and $xz \in E$. But, then $\{x, y, z, v_3\}$ induces a diamond in G, which is a contradiction. So, W_3 is an independent set. Similarly, W_4 is also an independent set. Thus (iii) holds.

(iv): Suppose not. Then there exist adjacent vertices x and y in $Y_1 \cup Y_3$. If both x and y are in Y_1 or in Y_3, then either $\{v_1, x, v_5, y\}$ or $\{v_2, x, v_4, y\}$ induces a diamond in G, a contradiction. So, we may assume that $x \in Y_1$ and $y \in Y_3$. But, then $\{v_1, v_2, y, v_4, x\}$ induces a bull in G, which is a contradiction. So (iv) holds.

(v): Suppose not. Then there exist vertices $x \in W_1$ and $y \in Y_2$ such that $xy \in E$. But, then $\{v_5, v_1, y, v_3, x\}$ induces a bull in G, which is a contradiction. So (v) holds. $\diamond$

By (2)(i), for each $i \in [5]$, W_i is bipartite. Let (W_i', W_i'') is a bipartition of W_i.

(3) The following hold:

- (i) $[W, N_2] = \emptyset$.
- (ii) If xx' is an edge in $[N_2]$, then $N(x) \cap N_1 = N(x') \cap N_1$. Moreover, $|N(x) \cap N_1| = 1$.
- (iii) If C is a component of $[V(G) \setminus (N_0 \cup N_1)]$, then there exists a vertex $y \in Y$ such that $N(y) \cap V(C) = V(C)$.

Proof of (3). (i): Suppose not. Then there exist vertices $x \in W$ and $y \in N_2$ such that $xy \in E$. We may assume that $x \in W_1$. But, then $\{y, x, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a P_6 in G which is a contradiction. So (i) holds.

(ii): Let $y \in N(x) \cap N_1$. Then by (i), $y \in Y_i$, for some i. Say $y \in Y_1$. Since $\{x', x, y, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ does not induce a P_6 in G, $x'y \in E$. So, $N(x) \cap N_1 \subseteq N(x') \cap N_1$. Similarly, $N(x') \cap N_1 \subseteq N(x) \cap N_1$. Hence, $N(x) \cap N_1 = N(x') \cap N_1$. Moreover, if $|N(x) \cap N_1| > 1$, and if $y_1, y_2 \in N(x) \cap N_1$, then $y_1, y_2 \in N(x')$, and then $\{x, x', y_1, y_2\}$ induces either a diamond or a K_4 in G, a contradiction. So, $|N(x) \cap N_1| = 1$.

(iii): Since C is a component of $[V(G) \setminus (N_0 \cup N_1)]$, by (i), there exists a vertex $y \in Y$ such that $N(y) \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$. We may assume that $y \in Y_1$. Now, we show that $N(y) \cap V(C) = V(C)$. Suppose not. Then there exist vertices $x, z \in V(C)$ such that $yx \in E$ and $yz \notin E$. Then since C is connected, there exists a path joining x and z in C, say P. But, then $V(P) \cup \{y, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ will induce a P_6 in G, a contradiction. So (iii) holds. $\diamond$

By (3)(iii), we see that for each $j \geq 3$, $N_j = \emptyset$. So, $V(G) = N_0 \cup W \cup Y \cup N_2$.

Also, by (3)(iii) and (R2), $[N_2]$ is a union of K_2's and K_1's, and hence $[N_2]$ is bipartite. Let (N_2', N_2'') be a bipartition of $[N_2]$ such that N_2' is a maximal independent set of N_2.

(4) For each $i \in [5]$, i mod 5, we have: (i) $[Y_i \cup Y_{i+2}, N(Y_{i+1} \cup Y_{i+3} \cup Y_{i+4}) \cap N_2''] = \emptyset$, and (ii) $[Y_{i+1} \cup Y_{i+3}, N(Y_i \cup Y_{i+2}) \cap N_2''] = \emptyset$.

Proof of (4). (i): We prove for $i = 1$. Suppose to the contrary that there exist adjacent vertices $y \in Y_1 \cup Y_3$ and $x \in N(Y_2 \cup Y_4 \cup Y_5) \cap N_2''$. Since N_2' is a maximal independent set of N_2, there exists $x' \in N_2'$ such that $xx' \in E$. Also, since $x \in N(Y_2 \cup Y_4 \cup Y_5) \cap N_2''$, there exists a vertex $y' \in Y_2 \cup Y_4 \cup Y_5$ such that $xy' \in E$. But, then $\{y, y'\} \subseteq N(x) \cap N_1$, a contradiction to (3)(ii). So (i) holds.

(ii): Similar to the proof of (i). $\diamond$

Now, by using the above properties, we prove the theorem in three cases as follows:

Case 1. $W_i = \emptyset$, for each $i \in [5]$.

Define $S_1 := \{v_1, v_3\} \cup Y_1 \cup Y_3 \cup (N(Y_2 \cup Y_4 \cup Y_5) \cap N_2'')$, $S_2 := \{v_2, v_4\} \cup Y_2 \cup Y_4 \cup (N(Y_1 \cup Y_3) \cap N_2'')$, $S_3 := \{v_5\} \cup Y_5$, and $S_4 := N_2'$. Then by (2)(iv) and (4), S_1, S_2, S_3 and S_4 are independent sets. So, (S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4) is a 4-coloring of G.

Case 2. $W_i \neq \emptyset$, for every $i \in [5]$.

By (2)(iii), W_i is an independent set, for each i. Now, we define $S_1 := \{v_1, v_3\} \cup W_2 \cup Y_1 \cup Y_3 \cup (N(Y_2 \cup Y_4 \cup Y_5) \cap N_2'')$, $S_2 := \{v_2, v_4\} \cup W_3 \cup Y_2 \cup Y_4 \cup (N(Y_1 \cup Y_3) \cap N_2'')$, $S_4 := \{v_5\} \cup W_1 \cup Y_5$, and $S_5 := W_4 \cup W_5 \cup N_2'$. Then by the above properties, we see that (S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4) is a 4-coloring of G.

Case 3. $W_i \neq \emptyset$ and $W_{i-1} = \emptyset$, for some $i \in [5]$, i mod 5.

Up to symmetry, we may assume that $i = 1$. Then by (2)(iii), W_3 and W_4 are independent sets.

(a) Suppose that $W_4 = \emptyset$. Then we define $S_1 := \{v_1, v_3\} \cup W_2' \cup Y_1 \cup Y_3 \cup (N(Y_2 \cup Y_5) \cap N_2'')$, $S_2 := \{v_2, v_5\} \cup W_1' \cup Y_2 \cup Y_5 \cup (N(Y_1 \cup Y_3 \cup Y_4) \cap N_2'')$, $S_3 := \{v_4\} \cup W_1'' \cup W_2'' \cup N_2'$, and $S_4 := W_3 \cup Y_4$. Then by the above properties, (S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4) is a 4-coloring of G.

(b) Suppose $W_4 \neq \emptyset$, then by (2)(iii), W_1 and W_2 are independent sets. Now, we define $S_1 := \{v_1, v_3\} \cup W_2 \cup Y_1 \cup Y_3 \cup (N(Y_2 \cup Y_5) \cap N_2'')$, $S_2 := \{v_2, v_5\} \cup W_1 \cup Y_2 \cup Y_5 \cup (N(Y_1 \cup Y_3 \cup Y_4) \cap N_2'')$, $S_3 := \{v_4\} \cup W_3 \cup Y_4$, and $S_4 := W_4 \cup N_2'$. Then by the above properties, (S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4) is a 4-coloring of G.

This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

The bound given in Theorem 4 is tight. For example, consider the duplicated graph H_i obtained from G_i, $i \in \{2, 3\}$ (shown in Figure 2) by substituting each vertex indicated in circle by an independent set (of order ≥ 1). Then it is verified that both H_2 and H_3 are (P_6, diamond, K_4, bull)-free (using (R5)), and $\chi(H_2) = \chi(H_3) = 4$. Note that the graph G_2 is a Greenwood-Gleason graph or a Clebsch graph, and the graph G_3 is the Mycielski 4-chromatic graph or a Grötzsch graph.

Theorem 5 *Let G be a connected (P_6, diamond, K_4)-free graph that contains an induced bull. Then G is 6-colorable.*

Proof. Let G be a connected (P_6, diamond, K_4)-free graph that contains an induced bull, say H with vertex-set $N_0 := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$, and edge-set $\{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_2v_5, v_3v_5\}$. For any integer $j \geq 1$, let N_j denote the set $\{y \in V(G) \mid d(y, N_0) = j\}$. Then we have the following:

(1) If $x \in N_1$, then $|N(x) \cap \{v_2, v_3, v_5\}| \leq 1$ and so $|N(x) \cap N_0| \leq 3$.

Proof. If $|N(x) \cap \{v_2, v_3, v_5\}| \geq 2$, then $\{v_2, v_3, v_5, x\}$ induces a diamond or a K_4 in G, a contradiction. $\diamond$

By (1) and since G is diamond-free, we partition N_1 as follows. Let:

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &:= \{x \in N_1 \mid N(x) \cap N_0 = \{v_i\}\}, i \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}, \\ A_{jk} &:= \{x \in N_1 \mid N(x) \cap N_0 = \{v_i, v_j\}\}, j \in \{1, 4\} \text{ and } k \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\} \ (j \neq k), \\ A_{p14} &:= \{x \in N_1 \mid N(x) \cap N_0 = \{v_p, v_1, v_4\}\}, p \in \{2, 3, 5\}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) By (R2) and (R3), for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}$, $j \in \{1, 4\}$, $k \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ $(j \neq k)$ and $p \in \{2, 3, 5\}$, we see that: $[A_i]$ is a union of K_2's and K_1's, and hence bipartite, and $[A_{jk}]$ and $[A_{p14}]$ are independent sets.

For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}$, let (A_i', A_i'') be a bipartition of A_i such that A_i' is a maximal independent set.

(3) We have either $A_1 = \emptyset$ or $A_4 = \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose not. Let $x \in A_1$ and $y \in A_4$. Then since $\{x, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, y\}$ does not induce a P_6 in G, $xy \in E$. But then $\{v_1, x, y, v_4, v_3, v_5\}$ induces a P_6 in G, which is a contradiction. $\diamond$

(4) We have the following:

(i) $[A_1, A_{14} \cup A_{15} \cup A_{45}]$ is complete.

(ii) $[A_5, A_{15} \cup A_{45}] = \emptyset$.

(iii) $[A_2'', A_{12} \cup A_{24} \cup A_{124}] = \emptyset = [A_3'', A_{13} \cup A_{34} \cup A_{134}]$.

(iv) $A_2'' \cup A_{12} \cup A_{24} \cup A_{124}$ and $A_3'' \cup A_{13} \cup A_{34} \cup A_{134}$ are independent sets.

(v) $[A_5'', A_{14} \cup A_{145}] = \emptyset$.

(vi) $[A_{14} \cup A_{15} \cup A_{45}, A_{145}] = \emptyset$.

Proof. (i): Suppose not. Then there exist vertices $x \in A_1$ and $y \in A_{14} \cup A_{15} \cup A_{45}$ such that $xy \notin E$. But, then $\{x, v_1, y, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ or $\{x, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, y\}$ induces a P_6 in G, a contradiction. So (i) holds.

(ii): Suppose not. Then there exist vertices $x \in A_5$ and $y \in A_{15} \cup A_{45}$ such that $xy \in E$. But, then $\{y, x, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a P_6 in G, a contradiction. So, (ii) holds.

(*iii*): Follows by the definitions of A_2'' and A_3'', and by (R1).

(*iv*): Follows by (2), (iii), (R1), (R3), and (R4).

(*v*): $[A_5'', A_{145}] = \emptyset$ follows by the definition of A_5'' and by(R1). Also, $[A_5'', A_{14}] = \emptyset$. Otherwise, there exist $x \in A_5''$ and $y \in A_{14}$ such that $xy \in E$. Since A_5' is maximal, there exists $x' \in A_5'$ such that $xx' \in E$. But, then by (R1), $\{x', x, y, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ induces a P_6 in G which is a contradiction. So, $[A_5'', A_{14}] = \emptyset$.

(*vi*): Follows by (R3). $\diamond$

Define $S_1 := A_2'' \cup A_{12} \cup A_{24} \cup A_{124}$ and $S_2 := A_3'' \cup A_{13} \cup A_{34} \cup A_{134}$.

(5) If C is a component of $[V(G) \setminus (N_0 \cup N_1)]$, then there exists a vertex $x \in N_1$ such that $N(x) \cap V(C) = V(C)$.

Proof. Suppose not. Since G is connected there exists a vertex $x \in N_1$ such that $N(x) \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ and $N(x) \cap V(C) \neq V(C)$. Then there exist vertices y_1 and y_2 in C with $y_1y_2 \in E$ such that $y_1 \in N(x)$ and $y_2 \notin N(x)$. Now, if both v_1 and v_4 are neighbors of x or if both v_1 and v_4 are non-neighbors of x, then by (1), $N_0 \cup \{x, y_1, y_2\}$ induces a P_6 in G, a contradiction. So, we may assume, up to symmetry that $xv_1 \in E$ and $xv_4 \notin E$. Now, if $xv_2 \in E$, then by (1), $\{y_2, y_1, x, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a P_6 in G, a contradiction. So, $xv_2 \notin E$. Then since $\{y_2, y_1, x, v_1, v_2, v_5\}$ does not induce a P_6 in G, $xv_5 \in E$. But, then $\{y_2, y_1, x, v_5, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a P_6 in G, a contradiction. So, (5) holds. $\diamond$

By (5) and by (R2), $[N_2]$ is a union of K_2's and K_1's, and hence bipartite. Let (N_2', N_2'') be a bipartition of N_2 such that N_2' is a maximal independent set of N_2.

Also, since G is connected, by (5) and by the definition of N_j's, $N_j = \emptyset$, for all $j \geq 3$. Thus, $V(G) = N_0 \cup N_1 \cup N_2$.

(6) $[A_1 \cup A_4 \cup A_{15} \cup A_{45}, N_2] = \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then there exist vertices $x \in A_1 \cup A_4 \cup A_{15} \cup A_{45}$ and $y \in N_2$ such that $xy \in E$. But, then $\{x, y, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a P_6 in G, a contradiction. $\diamond$

By (4:(iv)), it enough to show that $G - (S_1 \cup S_2)$ is 4-colorable, and we do this in two cases using (3).

Case 1. *Suppose that* $A_1 \cup A_4 \neq \emptyset$.

By (3) and by symmetry we may assume that $A_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $A_4 = \emptyset$. Then:

Claim 1 *The following hold:*

(i) $[A_1, A_5] = \emptyset$.

(ii) $A_{14} \cup A_{15} \cup A_{45}$ *is an independent set.*

(iii) $[A_3, N_2] = \emptyset = [A_5, N_2]$.

Proof of Claim 1. (*i*): Suppose not. Then there exist vertices $x \in A_1$ and $y \in A_5$ such that $xy \in E$. But, then $\{y, x, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a P_6 in G, a contradiction. So (i) holds. $\diamond$
(*ii*): Suppose not. Then there exist adjacent vertices, say x and y in $A_{14} \cup A_{15} \cup A_{45}$. Since $A_1 \neq \emptyset$, let $z \in A_1$. Then by (4:(i)), $[\{z\}, A_{14} \cup A_{15} \cup A_{45}]$ is complete. But, then $\{z, x, v_4, y\}$ or $\{z, x, v_5, y\}$ induce a diamond in G or $\{z, x, v_1, y\}$ induces a K_4 in G, a contradiction. So (ii) holds. $\diamond$
(*iii*): Suppose not. Since $A_1 \neq \emptyset$, let $z \in A_1$.

Let $x \in A_3$ and $y \in N_2$ be such that $xy \in E$. Then since $\{z, v_1, v_2, v_3, x, y\}$ does not induce a P_6 in G, $xz \in E$. But, then $\{v_5, v_2, v_1, z, x, y\}$ induces a P_6 in G, a contradiction. So, $[A_3, N_2] = \emptyset$.

Again, let $x \in N_2$ and $y \in A_5$ be such that $xy \in E$. By (6) and (*i*), $xz, yz \notin E$. But, then $\{z, v_1, v_2, v_5, y, x\}$ induces a P_6 in G, a contradiction. So, $[A_5, N_2] = \emptyset$. $\diamond$

Hence we have proved Claim 1. $\Diamond$

Now, let us define $S_3 := A_2' \cup \{v_1, v_5\}$; $S_4 := A_3' \cup N_2' \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$; $S_5 := A_1' \cup A_5' \cup N_2'' \cup \{v_3\}$; and $S_6 := A_1'' \cup A_5'' \cup A_{14} \cup A_{15} \cup A_{45} \cup A_{145}$. Then by the above claim and by the above properties, we see that (S_3, S_4, S_5, S_6) is a 4-coloring of $G - (S_1 \cup S_2)$.

Case 2. *Suppose that* $A_1 \cup A_4 = \emptyset$.

If $[A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_5, N_2] \neq \emptyset$, then we find a suitable bull with $A_1 \neq \emptyset$, and we proceed as in Case 1 to get a 4-coloring of $G - (S_1 \cup S_2)$. Also, if $[A_{14}, A_{15}]$ is not complete, then there exist $u \in A_{14}$ and $v \in A_{15}$ such that $uv \notin E$. Now, $\{v_2, v_3, v_5, v_4, v\}$ induces a bull with $A_1 \neq \emptyset$. So, we proceed as in Case 1 to get a 4-coloring of $G - (S_1 \cup S_2)$. By symmetry, the same holds if $[A_{14}, A_{45}]$ is not complete. So, we may assume that $[A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_5, N_2] = \emptyset$, and that $[A_{14}, A_{15}]$ and $[A_{14}, A_{45}]$ are complete.

We define $S_3 := N_2' \cup A_2' \cup \{v_1, v_5\}$ and $S_4 := N_2'' \cup A_3' \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$. Clearly S_3 and S_4 are independent sets. Now it is enough to show that $G \setminus (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3 \cup S_4)$ is bipartite. Then:

Claim 2 $A_{14} = \emptyset$ *or* $A_{15} = \emptyset$ *or* $A_{45} = \emptyset$.

Proof of Claim 2. Suppose not, let $x \in A_{14}, y \in A_{15}$ and $z \in A_{45}$. Then since $\{y, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, z\}$ does not induce a P_6 in G, $yz \in E$. But then since $[A_{14}, A_{15}]$ and $[A_{14}, A_{45}]$ are complete, $\{x, y, z, v_5\}$ induces a diamond in G, a contradiction. So the claim holds. $\diamond$

Now, we define

$$S_5 := \begin{cases} A_5' \cup A_{15} \cup \{v_3\}, \text{ if } A_{14} = \emptyset \text{ or } A_{45} = \emptyset, \\ A_5' \cup A_{45} \cup \{v_3\}, \text{ if } A_{15} = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

and

$$S_6 := \begin{cases} A_5'' \cup A_{14} \cup A_{45} \cup A_{145}, \text{ if } A_{14} = \emptyset \text{ or } A_{45} = \emptyset. \\ A_5'' \cup A_{14} \cup A_{145}, \text{ if } A_{15} = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Then by the above claim and by (4), we see that (S_5, S_6) is a bipartition of $G \setminus (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3 \cup S_4)$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

The bound given in Theorem 5 is tight. For example, consider the graph G which is isomorphic to the complement of the 16-regular *Schläfli graph* on 27 vertices. As mentioned earlier, G is (P_6, diamond)-free, $\chi(G) = 6$ and $\omega(G) = 3$. Also, it is verified that G contains a bull.

Theorem 6 *Let G be a (P_6, diamond, K_4)-free graph. Then G is 6-colorable.*

Proof. Follows by Theorems 4 and 5. □

References

[1] Addario-Berry, L., Chudnovsky, M., Havet, F., Reed, B., Seymour, P.: Bisimplicial vertices in even-hole-free graphs, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **98**, 1119–1164 (2008).

[2] Bharathi, A.P., Choudum, S. A.: Colouring $P_2 \cup P_3$-free graphs, arXiv:1610.07177 (2016).

[3] Brandstädt, A., Giakoumakis, V., Maffray, F.: Clique separator decomposition of hole-free and diamond-free graphs and algorithmic consequences. Discrete Applied Mathematics **160**, 471–478 (2012).

[4] Choudum, S. A., Karthick, T.: Maximal cliques in $\{P_2 \cup P_3, C_4\}$-free graphs. Discrete Mathematics **310**, 3398–3403 (2010).

[5] Chudnovsky, M., Goedgebeur, J., Schaudt, O., Zhong, M.: Obstructions for three-coloring graphs without induced paths on six vertices, Proceedings of SODA 2016, 1774–1783 (2016).

[6] Chudnovsky, M., Seymour, P., Robertson, N., Thomas, R.: The strong perfect graph theorem. Annals of Mathematics **164**(1), 51–229 (2006).

[7] Chudnovsky, M., Seymour, P., Robertson, N., Thomas, R.: K_4-free graphs with no odd holes, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **100**, 313–331 (2010) .

[8] Dabrowski, K. K., Dross, F., Paulusma, D.: Colouring diamond-free graphs, In: 15th Scandinavian Symposium and Workshops on Algorithm Theory (SWAT 2016), Rasmus Pagh Ed., LIPICS, Article No. 16; pp. 16:1–16:14.

[9] Esperet, L., Lemoine, L., Maffray, F., Morel, M.: The chromatic number of $\{P_5, K_4\}$-free graphs, Discrete Mathematics **313**, 743–754 (2013).

[10] Fan, G., Xu, B., Ye, T., Yu, X.: Forbidden subgraphs and 3-colorings, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **28**, 1226–1256 (2014).

[11] Garey, M.R., Johnson, D.S., Stockmeyer, L.J.: Some simplified NP-complete graph problems. Proceedings of STOC 1974, 47–63 (1974).

[12] Gravier, S., Hoàng, C.T., Maffray, F.: Coloring the hypergraph of maximal cliques of a graph with no long path. Discrete Mathematics 272 (2003) 285–290.

[13] Karthick, T., Maffray, F.: Vizing bound for the chromatic number on some graph classes. Graphs and Combinatorics **32**, 1447–1460 (2016).

[14] Karp, R.M.: Reducibility among combinatorial problems. In: *Complexity of Computer Computations* (R.E. Miller and J.W. Thatcher editors), Plenum, New York (1972) 85–103.

[15] Kloks, T., Müller, H., Vuskovic, K.: Even-hole-free graphs that do not contain diamonds: A structure theorem and its consequences. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **99**, 733–800 (2009).

[16] Mycielski, J.: Sur le coloriage des graphes. Colloquium Mathematics **3**, 161–162 (1955).

[17] Mosca, R.: Independent sets in (P_6, diamond)-free graphs, Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science **11**, 125–140 (2009).

[18] Pyatkin, A. V.: Triangle-free $2P_3$-free graphs are 4-colorable, Discrete Mathematics **313**, 715–720 (2013).

[19] Randerath, B., Schiermeyer, I.: Vertex colouring and forbidden subgraphs – A survey. Graphs and Combinatorics **20**, 1–40 (2004).

[20] Randerath, B., Schiermeyer, I., Tewes, M.: Three-colourability and forbidden subgraphs. II: polynomial algorithms, Discrete Mathematics **251**, 137–153 (2002).

[21] Tucker, A.: Coloring perfect $(K_4 - e)$-free graphs. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **42**, 313–318 (1987).

[22] West, D. B.: Introduction to Graph Theory. 2nd edition, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey (2000).